

# ON THE MOTIVE OF AN ABELIAN SCHEME WITH NON-TRIVIAL ENDOMORPHISMS

by Ben Moonen

**Abstract.** Let  $X$  be an abelian scheme over a base variety  $S$  and let  $D = \text{End}(X/S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  be its endomorphism algebra. We prove that the relative Chow motive of  $X$  has a natural decomposition as a direct sum of motives  $R^{(\alpha)}$  where  $\alpha$  runs over an explicitly determined finite set. To each  $\alpha$  corresponds an irreducible representation  $\rho_\alpha$  of the group  $D^{\text{opp},*}$  and the motivic decomposition is such that  $R^{(\alpha)}$ , as a functor on the category of relative Chow motives, is a sum of copies of  $\rho_\alpha$ . In particular  $\text{CH}(R^{(\alpha)})$ , as a representation of  $D^{\text{opp},*}$ , is a sum of copies of  $\rho_\alpha$ . Our decomposition refines the motivic decomposition of Deninger and Murre, as well as Beauville's decomposition of the Chow group.

*AMS 2010 Mathematics subject classification:* 14C15, 14K05

## Introduction

As an application of Fourier theory, Beauville proved in [2] that the Chow ring (with  $\mathbb{Q}$ -coefficients) of a  $g$ -dimensional abelian variety  $X$  has a bigrading  $\text{CH}(X) = \bigoplus_{j,s} \text{CH}_{(s)}^j(X)$ , where the upper grading is given by the codimension of cycles and  $[m]_X^*$  acts on  $\text{CH}_{(s)}^j(X)$  as multiplication by  $m^{2j-s}$ . As shown by Deninger and Murre in [4], this decomposition in fact comes from a natural decomposition  $R(X) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{2g} R^i(X)$  of the Chow motive of  $X$ ; we have  $\text{CH}_{(s)}^j(X) = \text{CH}^j(R^{2j-s}(X))$ . The results of Deninger and Murre are valid, more generally, for abelian schemes  $X \rightarrow S$  over a smooth quasi-projective base variety over a field.

One way to state Beauville's result is by saying that  $\mathbb{Q}^*$  acts on the Chow ring (letting  $m/n \in \mathbb{Q}^*$  act as  $[m]_X^* \circ [n]_X^{*, -1}$ ), and that the only characters that occur in this representation are the characters  $q \mapsto q^i$  for  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2g\}$ . The main purpose of this paper is to explain how this can be refined in the presence of non-trivial endomorphisms.

To describe our main result, consider an abelian scheme  $X \rightarrow S$  of relative dimension  $g$  that is isogenous to a power of a simple abelian scheme. (This is the essential case, to which the general case is reduced; see (5.5).) The endomorphism algebra  $D = \text{End}(X/S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  is then a simple algebra with center a number field  $K$ . Let  $\Gamma$  denote the Galois group of the normal closure of  $K$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The group  $D^{\text{opp},*}$  acts on  $\text{CH}(X)$  and on the motives  $R^i(X/S)$ , which are objects of the category  $\mathbf{M}^0(S)$  of relative Chow motives over  $S$ . This induces the structure of a  $D^{\text{opp},*}$ -representation on  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{M}^0(S)}(M, R(X/S))$ , for any relative Chow motive  $M$ .

Let  $G$  be  $D^{\text{opp},*}$ , viewed as a reductive group over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The irreducible representations of  $G$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  are indexed by the  $\Gamma$ -orbits in a space  $\mathbb{X}^+$  of highest weight vectors. Write  $\rho_\alpha$  for the irreducible representation of  $D^{\text{opp},*} = G(\mathbb{Q})$  corresponding to  $\alpha \in \mathbb{X}^+/\Gamma$ . There is a natural “weight function”  $\|\cdot\|: \mathbb{X}^+/\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  that sends a class  $\alpha$  to the degree of the restriction of  $\rho_\alpha$  to the subgroup  $\mathbb{G}_m \subset G$  of homotheties. Further, we consider an explicit finite subset  $\mathbb{X}^{\text{adm}}/\Gamma \subset \mathbb{X}^+/\Gamma$  of “admissible” elements; see (4.2) for the definition.

Our main results are Theorems (4.3) and (5.1) in the text. The content of these results is

that there is a unique motivic decomposition

$$R(X/S) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{X}^{\text{adm}}/\Gamma} R^{(\alpha)}(X/S)$$

that is stable under the action of  $D^{\text{opp},*}$  and has the property that for any motive  $M$  the  $D^{\text{opp},*}$ -representation  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{M}^0(S)}(M, R^{(\alpha)}(X/S))$  is isomorphic to a sum of copies of the irreducible representation  $\rho_\alpha$ . In particular, the Chow group  $\text{CH}(R^{(\alpha)}(X/S))$  is a sum of copies of  $\rho_\alpha$  as a representation of  $D^{\text{opp},*}$ . For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{X}^{\text{adm}}/\Gamma$  we have  $0 \leq \|\alpha\| \leq 2g$  and  $R^i(X/S)$  is the direct sum of the motives  $R^{(\alpha)}(X/S)$  with  $\|\alpha\| = i$ .

Further we describe an involution  $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^*$  on the set  $\mathbb{X}^{\text{adm}}/\Gamma$ , with  $\|\alpha^*\| = 2g - \|\alpha\|$ , and we obtain a motivic Poincaré duality isomorphism  $R^{(\alpha)}(X/S)^\vee \xrightarrow{\sim} R^{(\alpha^*)}(X/S)(g)$ . Finally, if  $X^\dagger/S$  is the dual abelian scheme, we have a motivic Fourier duality  $\mathcal{F}: R^i(X/S) \xrightarrow{\sim} R^{2g-i}(X^\dagger/S)(g-i)$  and we prove that this  $\mathcal{F}$  is a sum of isomorphisms  $R^{(\alpha)}(X/S) \xrightarrow{\sim} R^{(\alpha^*)}(X^\dagger/S)(g-i)$ , for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{X}^{\text{adm}}/\Gamma$  with  $\|\alpha\| = i$ .

The proof of our results relies on the fact that the group  $D^{\text{opp},*}$  acts on  $\text{CH}(R^i(X/S))$  through a representation that is polynomial of degree  $i$ , by which we mean that all matrix coefficients that occur in this representation are homogeneous polynomial functions of degree  $i$  on  $D$ . In Section 1 we discuss the classification of such representations. The proof that the representation on  $\text{CH}(R^i(X/S))$  is indeed of this kind reduces, via Künnemann's isomorphism  $R^i(X/S) \cong \wedge^i R^1(X/S)$ , to the case  $i = 1$ , in which case it is the unsurprising assertion that the natural map  $D^{\text{opp}} \rightarrow \text{End}(R^1(X/S))$  given by  $f \mapsto f^*$  is a homomorphism of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebras. In Section 4 we study the decomposition of  $\text{CH}(X)$  and by bootstrapping we obtain from this in Section 5 a motivic decomposition.

*Conventions.* — Throughout, Chow groups are taken with  $\mathbb{Q}$ -coefficients. All group actions we consider are left actions.

## 1. Some inputs from representation theory

**(1.1)** In this section we consider a simple algebra  $B$  of finite dimension over a field  $k$  of characteristic 0. Let  $K$  be the center of  $B$ , let  $[K : k] = n$  and let  $d = \dim_K(B)^{1/2}$ .

Let  $\bar{k}$  be an algebraic closure of  $k$  and let  $\Sigma(K)$  denote the set of  $k$ -algebra homomorphisms  $K \rightarrow \bar{k}$ . Let  $\tilde{K}$  denote the normal closure of  $K$  inside  $\bar{k}$ , and write  $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\tilde{K}/k)$ . The natural action of  $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$  on  $\Sigma(K)$  factors through an action of  $\Gamma$ .

**(1.2)** Let  $H$  be the reductive group over  $K$  with  $H(R) = (B \otimes_K R)^*$  for any commutative  $K$ -algebra  $R$ . Let  $(\mathbb{X}(H), \Phi, \mathbb{X}^\vee(H), \Phi^\vee, \Delta)$  be the based root datum of  $H$ . We need to recall the definition of  $\mathbb{X}(H)$ ; see for instance [10], Section 1.2, for further details. Consider pairs  $(T, Q)$  consisting of a maximal torus  $T \subset H_{\bar{K}}$  and a Borel subgroup  $Q \subset H_{\bar{K}}$  containing  $T$ . Given such a pair, let  $\mathbb{X}_{(T, Q)}$  denote the character group of  $T$ . If  $(T', Q')$  is another pair, there exists an element  $h \in H(\tilde{K})$  such that  $hTh^{-1} = T'$  and  $hQh^{-1} = Q'$ . The induced isomorphism  $\mathbb{X}_{(T', Q')} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{X}_{(T, Q)}$  is independent of the choice of  $h$  and  $\mathbb{X}(H)$  is defined as the projective limit of the groups  $\mathbb{X}_{(T, Q)}$ . For any pair  $(T, Q)$  the natural map  $\mathbb{X}(H) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_{(T, Q)}$  is an isomorphism.

There is a natural choice for an ordered  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$  of  $\mathbb{X}(H)$ , obtained in the following way. Choose an isomorphism of  $\bar{K}$ -algebras  $a: B \otimes_K \bar{K} \xrightarrow{\sim} M_d(\bar{K})$ ; this induces an isomorphism  $\alpha: H_{\bar{K}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{GL}_{d, \bar{K}}$ . Let  $T \subset Q \subset H_{\bar{K}}$  be the maximal torus and Borel subgroup such that  $\alpha(T)$  is the diagonal torus and  $\alpha(Q)$  is the upper triangular Borel. Let  $\epsilon'_j: \alpha(T) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m, \bar{K}}$  be the character that sends a diagonal matrix with entries  $(c_1, \dots, c_d)$  to  $c_j$ , and define  $\epsilon_j \in \mathbb{X}_{(T, Q)}$  by  $\epsilon_j = \epsilon'_j \circ \alpha$ . Then  $\{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_d\}$  is an ordered  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $\mathbb{X}_{(T, Q)}$ . Now define  $\{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$  to be the ordered  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $\mathbb{X}(H)$  such that  $e_j \mapsto \epsilon_j$  under the isomorphism  $\mathbb{X}(H) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{X}_{(T, Q)}$ . It follows from the Skolem-Noether theorem and the definition of  $\mathbb{X}(H)$  that the ordered basis thus obtained does not depend on the choice of the isomorphism  $a$ . Further it is clear from the construction that the roots are the vectors  $e_i - e_j$  for  $i \neq j$ , and that the basis of positive roots is given by  $\Delta = \{e_i - e_{i+1} \mid i = 1, \dots, d-1\}$ .

**(1.3)** The group  $H$  is an inner form of  $\mathrm{GL}_d$ ; hence the Galois group  $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$  acts trivially on the root datum of  $H$ . By [9], Thm. 7.2, we have a bijective correspondence between the set of irreducible finite-dimensional representations of  $H$  over  $K$  and the set  $\mathbb{X}(H)^+$  of dominant weights.

With respect to the ordered basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$  as in (1.2), the dominant weights are the vectors  $\lambda_1 e_1 + \dots + \lambda_d e_d$  for  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  with  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_d$ . This gives an identification of  $\mathbb{X}(H)^+$  with the set

$$(1.3.1) \quad \Lambda^+ = \{\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \mid \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_d\}.$$

For  $\lambda \in \Lambda^+ = \mathbb{X}(H)^+$ , let  $\psi_\lambda$  be the corresponding irreducible representation of  $H$  over  $K$ .

If  $\phi_\lambda$  is the irreducible representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_d$  with highest weight given by  $\lambda$ , the representation  $\psi_\lambda$  is a  $K$ -form of the representation  $\phi_\lambda^{\oplus d(\lambda)}$  for some integer  $d(\lambda)$  that divides  $d$ . For later use, let us also recall that if  $\lambda_d \geq 0$ , the representation  $\phi_\lambda$  is the one obtained from the standard representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_d$  applying the Schur functor  $\mathbb{S}_\lambda$ . In the general case, without the assumption that  $\lambda_d \geq 0$ , we take an integer  $m$  with  $\lambda_d + m \geq 0$ ; then  $\phi_\lambda = \phi_{(\lambda_1+m, \dots, \lambda_d+m)} \otimes \det^{-m}$ . See for instance [5], Section 15.5.

**(1.4)** Next we consider the reductive group  $G = \mathrm{Res}_{K/k} H$  over  $k$ . If  $R$  is a commutative  $k$ -algebra,  $G(R) = (B \otimes_k R)^*$ . The set  $\mathbb{X}(G)^+$  of dominant weights of  $G_{\bar{k}}$  is given by  $\mathbb{X}(G)^+ = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma(K)} \mathbb{X}(H)^+$ . Via the identification  $\mathbb{X}(H)^+ = \Lambda^+$  of (1.3), we obtain an identification of  $\mathbb{X}(G)^+$  with the set

$$\mathbb{X}^+ = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma(K)} \Lambda^+.$$

The Galois group  $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$  acts on  $\mathbb{X}^+ = \mathbb{X}(G)^+$  by its permutation of the summands; hence this action factors through an action of  $\Gamma$ . By [9], Thm. 7.2, the irreducible  $k$ -representations of  $G$  are indexed by the elements of  $\mathbb{X}^+/\Gamma$ . If  $\alpha$  is a  $\Gamma$ -orbit in  $\mathbb{X}^+$  we denote the corresponding irreducible representation of  $G$  by  $\rho_\alpha$ .

We have a natural isomorphism  $G_{\bar{K}} \cong \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma(K)} H_\sigma$ , with  $H_\sigma = H \otimes_{K, \sigma} \bar{K}$ . The representation  $\rho_{\alpha, \bar{K}}$  decomposes as a direct sum  $\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \alpha} \Psi_\lambda$ , where  $\Psi_\lambda$  is the external tensor product  $\boxtimes_{\sigma \in \Sigma(K)} \psi_{\lambda(\sigma)}$ . (Here  $\lambda \in \mathbb{X}^+$  is viewed as a function  $\Sigma(K) \rightarrow \Lambda^+$ .)

Note that, since  $G(k) = B^*$  is Zariski dense in  $G$ , the representations  $\rho_\alpha$ , for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{X}^+/\Gamma$ , are still irreducible and mutually non-equivalent as representations of the abstract group  $B^*$ .

(1.5) Choose a  $k$ -basis  $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N\}$  for  $B$  (with  $N = nd^2$ ). If  $E$  is a commutative  $k$ -algebra, we call a map  $r: B \rightarrow E$  a multiplicative homogeneous polynomial map over  $k$  of degree  $i$  if it has the following properties:

- (a)  $r$  is multiplicative, in the sense that  $r(1) = 1$  and  $r(b_1 b_2) = r(b_1)r(b_2)$  for all  $b_1, b_2 \in B$ ;
- (b) there exists a homogeneous polynomial  $P \in E[t_1, \dots, t_N]$  of degree  $i$  such that  $r(c_1\beta_1 + \dots + c_N\beta_N) = P(c_1, \dots, c_N)$  for all  $c_1, \dots, c_N \in k$ .

Note that the polynomial  $P$  in (b) is uniquely determined, because  $k$  is an infinite field.

Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional  $k$ -vector space. Consider a multiplicative homogeneous polynomial map  $r: B \rightarrow \text{End}_k(V)$  over  $k$  of degree  $i$ . If  $R$  is a commutative  $k$ -algebra, define  $r_R: B \otimes_k R \rightarrow \text{End}_R(V \otimes_k R) = \text{End}_k(V) \otimes_k R$  by the relation  $r_R(c_1\beta_1 + \dots + c_N\beta_N) = P(c_1, \dots, c_N)$ , for  $c_1, \dots, c_N \in R$ . Using that  $r$  is multiplicative plus the fact that the field  $k$  is infinite, one easily shows that the map  $r_R$  is again multiplicative. Hence this construction defines an algebraic representation  $\phi_r: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  over  $k$ . We refer to the representations of  $G$ , or of  $B^* = G(k)$ , that are obtained in this manner as the polynomial representations of degree  $i$ .

(1.6) Define a subset  $\Lambda^{\text{pol}} \subset \Lambda^+$  by the condition that  $\lambda_d \geq 0$ , i.e.,

$$(1.6.1) \quad \Lambda^{\text{pol}} = \{\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \mid \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_d \geq 0\}.$$

Define  $\mathbb{X}^{\text{pol}} = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma(K)} \Lambda^{\text{pol}}$ , which is a  $\Gamma$ -stable subset of  $\mathbb{X}^+$ .

For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{X}^{\text{pol}}$ , define  $\|\lambda\| = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma(K)} |\lambda(\sigma)|$ . As the map  $\mathbb{X}^{\text{pol}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  given by  $\lambda \mapsto \|\lambda\|$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant, it descends to a map  $\|\cdot\|: \mathbb{X}^{\text{pol}}/\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

(1.7) *Proposition.* — Let  $\phi: B^* \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  be a polynomial representation of degree  $i$ . Then

$$(1.7.1) \quad (V, \phi) = \bigoplus_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{X}^{\text{pol}}/\Gamma \\ \|\alpha\| = i}} (V_\alpha, \phi^{(\alpha)})$$

such that  $(V_\alpha, \phi^{(\alpha)})$  is isomorphic to a sum of copies of the irreducible representation  $\rho_\alpha$ .

*Proof.* By construction,  $\phi: B^* \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  is obtained from an algebraic representation  $\phi_r: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  by evaluation on  $k$ -rational points. The irreducible representations that occur in  $\phi_r$  are again polynomial of degree  $i$ , and this property is preserved if we extend scalars to  $\bar{K}$ . Using the description of the representations  $\rho_{\alpha, \bar{K}}$  given in (1.3) and (1.4) we see that the only irreducible representations  $\rho_\alpha$  that are polynomial of degree  $i$  are those with  $\alpha \in \mathbb{X}^{\text{pol}}/\Gamma$  and  $\|\alpha\| = i$ .  $\square$

(1.8) *Example.* — The reduced norm  $\text{Nrd}: B^* \rightarrow k^*$  is a polynomial representation of degree  $nd$ . It corresponds to the  $\Gamma$ -orbit  $\alpha \in \mathbb{X}^{\text{pol}}/\Gamma$  that consists of the single element  $\nu: \Sigma(K) \rightarrow \Lambda^{\text{pol}}$  with  $\nu(\sigma) = (1, \dots, 1)$  for all  $\sigma \in \Sigma(K)$ . If  $\alpha \in \mathbb{X}^{\text{pol}}/\Gamma$  is the orbit of  $\lambda: \Sigma(K) \rightarrow \Lambda^{\text{pol}}$ , the representation  $\text{Nrd} \otimes \rho_\alpha$  is again polynomial; it corresponds to the  $\Gamma$ -orbit in  $\mathbb{X}^{\text{pol}}$  of the sum  $\nu + \lambda$ .

(1.9) *Remark.* — We shall have to deal with multiplicative homogeneous polynomial maps  $r: B \rightarrow \text{End}_k(V)$  of degree  $i$  where  $V$  is no longer assumed to have finite  $k$ -dimension, but is the union of its finite dimensional subspaces  $V'$  that are stable under all operators  $r(b)$  for  $b \in B$ . In this case we still have a decomposition (1.7.1), of course with the understanding that the

$(V_\alpha, \phi^{(\alpha)})$  will now in general be infinite sums of copies of  $\rho_\alpha$ . We refer to  $V_\alpha$  as the  $\alpha$ -isotypic component of  $V$ .

## 2. Some preliminaries on the action of endomorphisms on the Chow motive

**(2.1)** Throughout this section,  $F$  is a field and  $S$  denotes a connected  $F$ -scheme that is smooth and quasi-projective over  $F$ . Let  $\mathbf{M}^0(S)$  be the category of Chow motives over  $S$  with respect to graded correspondences, as defined as in [4], 1.6.

Let  $\mathbf{V}_S$  denote the category of smooth projective  $S$ -schemes. We have a contravariant functor  $R(-/S): \mathbf{V}_S \rightarrow \mathbf{M}^0(S)$ , sending a smooth projective  $X \rightarrow S$  to  $R(X/S) = (X, [{}^t\Gamma_{\text{id}}], 0)$ . For a morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  between smooth projective  $S$ -schemes,  $R(f/S) = [{}^t\Gamma_f]: R(Y/S) \rightarrow R(X/S)$ . We write  $f^*$  for  $R(f/S)$ .

Let  $X \rightarrow S$  be an abelian scheme of relative dimension  $g$  over  $S$ . For  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $[m]_X: X \rightarrow X$  denote the multiplication by  $m$  map. By [4], Cor. 3.2 the relative motive  $R(X/S)$  decomposes in  $\mathbf{M}^0(S)$  as

$$(2.1.1) \quad R(X/S) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{2g} R^i(X/S),$$

in such a way that  $[m]_X^*$  acts on  $R^i(X/S)$  as multiplication by  $m^i$ . Define  $R^i(X/S) = 0$  if  $i \notin \{0, \dots, 2g\}$ . If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a homomorphism of abelian schemes over  $S$  the induced morphism  $f^*$  of motives is a sum of morphisms  $R^i(f): R^i(Y/S) \rightarrow R^i(X/S)$ ; we shall again call these morphisms  $f^*$ .

The goal of this paper is to explain how, in the presence of non-trivial endomorphisms, the decomposition (2.1.1) may be refined. As a first example we consider the case of a product of abelian schemes. Though it is not stated by Deninger and Murre in [4], the following result is an immediate consequence of their work.

**(2.2)** *Proposition.* — Let  $X_1, \dots, X_r$  be abelian schemes over  $S$  with  $X_\nu$  of relative dimension  $g_\nu$ . Write  $X = X_1 \times_S \dots \times_S X_r$ , let  $g = g_1 + \dots + g_r$  and

$$I_X = \{\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r \mid 0 \leq i_\nu \leq 2g_\nu\}.$$

For  $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_r)$ , let  $[\mathbf{m}]_X \in \text{End}(X/S)$  be given by  $(x_1, \dots, x_r) \mapsto (m_1 x_1, \dots, m_r x_r)$ , and let  $\mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{i}} = m_1^{i_1} \dots m_r^{i_r}$ . Then there is a unique decomposition

$$(2.2.1) \quad [\Delta_{X/S}] = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in I_X} \pi_{\mathbf{i}}$$

in  $\text{End}_{\mathbf{M}^0(S)}(R(X/S)) = \text{CH}^g(X \times_S X)$  such that the elements  $\pi_{\mathbf{i}}$  are mutually orthogonal idempotents and such that  $[\mathbf{m}]_X^* \circ \pi_{\mathbf{i}} = \mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{i}} \cdot \pi_{\mathbf{i}}$  for all  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$  and  $\mathbf{i} \in I_X$ . Moreover,  $\pi_{\mathbf{i}} \circ [\mathbf{m}]_X^* = \mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{i}} \cdot \pi_{\mathbf{i}}$  for all  $\mathbf{m}$  and  $\mathbf{i}$ . Corresponding to (2.2.1) we have a decomposition

$$R(X/S) = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{i} \in I_X} R^{\mathbf{i}}(X/S)$$

such that  $[\mathbf{m}]^*$  acts on  $R^i(X/S)$  as multiplication by  $\mathbf{m}^i$ .

*Proof.* This follows from the main results of [4] by taking tensor products. We have  $R(X/S) = R(X_1/S) \otimes \cdots \otimes R(X_r/S)$  in  $\mathbf{M}^0(S)$ ; if  $[\Delta_{X_r/S}] = \sum_{j=0}^{2g_r} \pi_j^{(\nu)}$  is the decomposition of loc. cit., Thm. 3.1., we take  $\pi_{\mathbf{i}} = \pi_{i_1}^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi_{i_r}^{(r)}$  for  $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_r) \in I_X$ .  $\square$

**(2.3) Example.** — (Cf. [7], (3.1.2)(ii).) Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be abelian schemes over  $S$  with  $X$  of relative dimension  $g$ . If  $\xi \in \mathrm{CH}(X \times_S Y)$  we have a decomposition  $\xi = \sum \xi_{i,j}$  such that  $[m, n]^*(\xi_{i,j}) = m^i n^j \cdot \xi_{i,j}$  for all integers  $m$  and  $n$ . It follows from the relations in [4], Prop. 1.2.1, together with the motivic Poincaré duality  ${}^t \pi_i = \pi_{2g-i}$  that  $\xi_{i,j} = \pi_j(Y/S) \circ \xi \circ \pi_{2g-i}(X/S)$ .

We apply this with  $Y = X^\dagger$ , the dual of  $X$ . Let  $\ell \in \mathrm{CH}^1(X \times_S X^\dagger)$  be the first Chern class of the Poincaré bundle. Then  $\ell = \ell_{1,1}$ ; hence,  $\ell^i/i! = \pi_i(X^\dagger/S) \circ (\ell^i/i!) \circ \pi_{2g-i}(X/S)$ . Now use the Mukai-Beauville relation  $\mathcal{F}^\dagger \circ \mathcal{F} = (-1)^g [-1]^*$  and view  $\ell^i/i! \in \mathrm{CH}^i(X \times_S X^\dagger)$  as a morphism from  $R(X/S) = \bigoplus R^j(X/S)$  to  $R(X^\dagger/S)(g-i) = \bigoplus R^j(X^\dagger/S)(i-g)$ . It follows that the only non-zero component of this morphism is an isomorphism

$$(2.3.1) \quad \frac{\ell^i}{i!} : R^{2g-i}(X/S) \xrightarrow{\sim} R^i(X^\dagger/S)(i-g),$$

which we refer to as motivic Fourier duality. (The interpretation is that, up to a Tate twist, the dual abelian scheme is the Poincaré dual of  $X$ , and that Fourier duality “is” Poincaré duality. Indeed, combining (2.3.1) with the motivic Poincaré duality  $R^i(X/S)^\vee = R^{2g-i}(X/S)(g)$  we find that  $R^i(X^\dagger/S) \cong R^i(X/S)^\vee(-i)$ .)

**(2.4)** With  $S$  as in (2.1), consider an abelian scheme  $X \rightarrow S$  of relative dimension  $g > 0$ . We assume  $X$  is isogenous to a power of a simple abelian scheme over  $S$ , in which case the endomorphism algebra  $D = \mathrm{End}(X/S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  is a simple  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra of finite dimension. (For the general case see (5.5).) Let  $K$  be the center of  $D$ . Let  $n = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$  and  $d = \dim_K(D)^{1/2}$ . Let  $\Sigma(K)$  be the set of ring homomorphisms  $K \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ , let  $\tilde{K} \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  denote the normal closure of  $K$  inside  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ , and write  $\Gamma = \mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{K}/\mathbb{Q})$ .

Every element of  $D$  can be written in the form  $f/m$  for some  $f \in \mathrm{End}(X/S)$  and some integer  $m \neq 0$ . For  $i \geq 0$  we have a well-defined map  $r^{(i)} : D^{\mathrm{opp}} \rightarrow \mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{M}^0(S)}(R^i(X/S))$  given by  $(f/m) \mapsto f^* \circ [m]^{*, -1}$ . This map is multiplicative but is not, in general, additive. In particular, the group  $D^{\mathrm{opp}, *}$  acts on  $R^i(X/S)$  by automorphisms.

**(2.5) Proposition.** — The map  $r^{(1)} : D^{\mathrm{opp}} \rightarrow \mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{M}^0(S)}(R^1(X/S))$  is a homomorphism of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebras.

*Proof.* It will be easier to prove the dual statement. Recall that  $R^{2g-1}(X/S)(g) = R^1(X/S)^\vee$ ; see [7], (3.1.2). If  $f$  is an endomorphism of  $X/S$ , we have an induced endomorphism  $f_* = [\Gamma_f]$  of  $R(X/S)$ . It follows from Prop. 3.3 of [4], taking transposes, that  $\pi_i \circ f_* = f_* \circ \pi_i$  for all  $i$ . Hence  $f_*$  is the sum of the endomorphisms  $f_* \circ \pi_i \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{M}^0(S)}(R^i(X/S))$ ; we shall again denote these by  $f_*$ . For  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  the endomorphism  $[m]_* : R^i(X/S) \rightarrow R^i(X/S)$  is the multiplication by  $m^{2g-i}$ ; hence for  $m \neq 0$  it is an isomorphism and we can define a map  $D \rightarrow \mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{M}^0(S)}(R^{2g-1}(X/S))$  by  $(f/m) \mapsto f_* \circ [m]_*^{-1}$ . It suffices to prove that this map is additive.

Let  $A \rightarrow T$  be an abelian scheme of relative dimension  $g$  with  $T$  a connected, smooth and quasi-projective  $F$ -scheme. For  $a \in A(T)$ , define  $\log([\Gamma_a]) \in \mathrm{CH}^g(A)$  as in [7], Section (1.4).

As shown there,  $\log([\Gamma_{a+b}]) = \log([\Gamma_a]) + \log([\Gamma_b])$ . Applying this to the abelian scheme  $\text{pr}_1: X \times_S X \rightarrow X$  we find that for endomorphisms  $f$  and  $f'$  of  $X/S$  we have

$$(2.5.1) \quad \log([\Gamma_{f+f'}]) = \log([\Gamma_f]) + \log([\Gamma_{f'}])$$

in  $\text{CH}^g(X \times_S X) = \text{End}_{\mathbf{M}^0(S)}(R(X/S))$ .

The projector  $\pi_{2g-1}$  that defines  $R^{2g-1}(X/S)$  is  $\pi_{2g-1} = \log([\Gamma_{\text{id}}])$ . Now we use [6], assertion (iii) of Lemma 2.2; this says that for an endomorphism  $\phi$  we have  $\phi_* \circ \log([\Gamma_{\text{id}}]) = \log([\Gamma_\phi])$ . So (2.5.1) gives  $(f+f')_* \circ \pi_{2g-1} = f_* \circ \pi_{2g-1} + f'_* \circ \pi_{2g-1}$ , which is what we wanted to prove.  $\square$

(2.6) *Corollary.* — *The map  $r^{(i)}: D^{\text{opp}} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbf{M}^0(S)}(R^i(X/S))$  defined in (2.4) is a multiplicative homogeneous polynomial map over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of degree  $i$ .*

*Proof.* We already know that  $r^{(i)}$  is multiplicative. Taking the isomorphism  $R^i(X/S) \xrightarrow{\sim} \wedge^i R^1(X/S)$  of [7], Thm. (3.3.1), as an identification, the map  $r^{(i)}$  is the composition of the homomorphism  $r^{(1)}$  with the map  $\text{End}_{\mathbf{M}^0(S)}(R^1(X/S)) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbf{M}^0(S)}(R^i(X/S))$  that sends an endomorphism  $h$  of  $R^1(X/S)$  to the induced endomorphism  $\wedge^i h = h \wedge \cdots \wedge h$  of  $R^i(X/S)$ . It follows that  $r^{(i)}$  is a homogeneous polynomial map of degree  $i$ .  $\square$

### 3. Duality

(3.1) We retain the notation and assumptions of (2.4). We apply the theory of Section 1 with  $k = \mathbb{Q}$  and three different choices for  $B$ , to be discussed in more detail below. In each case  $B$  is central simple of dimension  $d^2$  over the field  $K$  of (2.4). The meaning of  $\Sigma(K)$  and  $\Gamma$  is the same in all cases and the notation we use is consistent with the notation introduced in Section 1. In each case we index the irreducible algebraic representations of  $B^*$  by  $\mathbb{X}^+/\Gamma$ , following the method discussed in (1.2)–(1.4).

Let us now give some more details about the group actions we consider.

- (a) We shall mostly describe things from the cohomological perspective. In this case we take  $B = D^{\text{opp}}$ , which we let act on  $\text{CH}(X)$  through the operators  $f^*$ . Let  $H$  denote the reductive group over  $K$  with  $H(R) = (D^{\text{opp}} \otimes_K R)^*$  and let  $G = \text{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}} H$ . For  $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ , let  $\psi_\lambda$  be the corresponding irreducible representation of  $H$  over  $K$ . For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{X}^+/\Gamma$ , let  $\rho_\alpha$  be the corresponding irreducible representation of  $G(\mathbb{Q}) = D^{\text{opp},*}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .
- (b) In order to describe Poincaré duality we need the homological perspective, letting  $B = D$  act on  $\text{CH}(X)$  through the operators  $f_*$ . Let  $H'$  be the reductive group over  $K$  with  $H'(R) = (D \otimes_K R)^*$  and let  $G' = \text{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}} H'$ , which is the opposite of the group  $G$ . For  $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ , let  $\psi'_\lambda$  be the corresponding irreducible representation of  $H'$  over  $K$ . For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{X}^+/\Gamma$ , the corresponding irreducible representation of  $G'(\mathbb{Q}) = D^*$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is denoted by  $\rho'_\alpha$ .
- (c) Let  $X^\dagger \rightarrow S$  be the dual abelian scheme and let  $D^\dagger = \text{End}(X^\dagger/S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ . If  $f$  is an endomorphism of  $X/S$ , let  $f^\dagger: X^\dagger \rightarrow X^\dagger$  denote the dual endomorphism. The map  $f \mapsto f^\dagger$  gives an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebras  $D \xrightarrow{\sim} D^{\dagger, \text{opp}}$  and we use this to identify the center of  $D^{\dagger, \text{opp}}$  with  $K$ . (This may lead to confusion; see (3.5).) For the rest the pattern is the same as in (a). We consider  $\text{CH}(X^\dagger)$  as a representation of  $D^{\dagger, \text{opp},*}$ , with  $g \in D^{\dagger, \text{opp}}$  acting as  $g^*$ . For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{X}^+/\Gamma$ , let  $\rho_\alpha^\dagger$  be the corresponding irreducible representation of  $D^{\dagger, \text{opp},*}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**(3.2) Lemma.** — Let  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_d)$  be an element of  $\Lambda^+$ . Then the representation  $\tau$  of  $H'$  over  $K$  given by  $\tau(h) = \psi_\lambda(h^{-1})$  is isomorphic to  $\psi'_\mu$ , where  $\mu = (-\lambda_d, \dots, -\lambda_1)$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that  $\tau$  is an irreducible representation of  $H'$ . As the representations are determined by their highest weights, we may work over  $\bar{K}$ . Choose an isomorphism of  $\bar{K}$ -algebras  $a: D_{\bar{K}}^{\text{opp}} \xrightarrow{\sim} M_d(\bar{K})$ , and define  $a': D_{\bar{K}} \xrightarrow{\sim} M_d(\bar{K})$  by  $a'(\xi) = {}^t a(\xi)$ , the transpose of  $a(\xi)$ . Let  $\alpha: H_{\bar{K}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{GL}_{d, \bar{K}}$  and  $\alpha': H'_{\bar{K}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{GL}_{d, \bar{K}}$  be the induced isomorphisms of algebraic groups. Via these isomorphisms we can view both  $\psi_\lambda$  and  $\tau$  as representations of  $\text{GL}_{d, \bar{K}}$ ; in other words, we consider  $\psi_\lambda \circ \alpha^{-1}$  and  $\tau \circ (\alpha')^{-1}$ . In both cases the highest weight is taken with regard to the diagonal torus  $T$  and the upper triangular Borel  $Q \subset \text{GL}_d$ . We have

$$(\tau \circ (\alpha')^{-1})(g) = (\psi_\lambda \circ \alpha^{-1})({}^t g^{-1}).$$

Let  $\beta$  be the automorphism of  $\text{GL}_d$  given by  $g \mapsto {}^t g^{-1}$ . Then  $\beta(T) = T$  and  $\beta(Q) = Q^-$ , the lower triangular Borel subgroup. If  $A \in \text{GL}_d(K)$  is the anti-diagonal matrix with all anti-diagonal coefficients equal to 1, the inner automorphism  $\text{Inn}(A)$  transforms  $(T, Q^-)$  back to  $(T, Q)$ , and the effect of  $\text{Inn}(A) \circ \beta$  on the character group of  $T$  is given by  $e_i \mapsto -e_{d-i}$ . Hence if  $\psi_\lambda \circ \alpha^{-1}$  has highest weight  $\lambda_1 e_1 + \dots + \lambda_d e_d$ , the highest weight of  $\tau \circ (\alpha')^{-1}$  is  $-\lambda_d e_1 - \dots - \lambda_1 e_d$ .  $\square$

**(3.3) Notation.** — For  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d)$  in  $\Lambda^+$  define

$$\lambda^* = \left( \frac{2g}{nd} - \lambda_d, \dots, \frac{2g}{nd} - \lambda_1 \right).$$

Note that  $2g/nd$  is an integer; see [8], Chap. 19, Corollary to Thm. 4. Hence  $\lambda^*$  is again an element of  $\Lambda^+$ . For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{X}^+$ , define  $\lambda^* \in \mathbb{X}^+$  by the rule  $\lambda^*(\sigma) = \lambda(\sigma)^*$ . For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{X}^+/\Gamma$ , let  $\alpha^*$  denote the  $\Gamma$ -orbit consisting of the elements  $\lambda^*$ , for  $\lambda \in \alpha$ . Note that  $\|\alpha^*\| = 2g - \|\alpha\|$ .

**(3.4) Proposition.** — Let  $V \subset \text{CH}(X)$  be an irreducible subrepresentation of  $D^{\text{opp},*}$  that is isomorphic to  $\rho_\alpha$ .

(i) The subspace  $V \subset \text{CH}(X)$  is stable under the action of the operators  $f_*$ , for  $f \in D$ , and  $V$  is isomorphic to  $\rho'_{\alpha^*}$  as a representation of  $D^*$ .

(ii) Let  $\mathcal{F}: \text{CH}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{CH}(X^\dagger)$  be the Fourier transform. Then  $\mathcal{F}(V) \subset \text{CH}(X^\dagger)$  is an irreducible subrepresentation of  $D^{\dagger, \text{opp},*}$  that is isomorphic to  $\rho_{\alpha^*}^\dagger$ .

*Proof.* (i) Let  $f \in D^{\text{opp},*}$ . Then  $f$  is a quasi-isogeny of  $X$  to itself. Its degree  $\deg(f)$  equals  $\text{Nrd}(f)^{(2g/nd)}$ , where  $\text{Nrd}: D^{\text{opp},*} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^*$  is the reduced norm character. (See (1.8).) For  $\xi \in \text{CH}(X)$  we have the relation  $f_*(\xi) = \deg(f) \cdot (1/f)^*(\xi)$ . Now use (1.8) and Lemma (3.2).

(ii) For  $f \in D$  and  $\xi \in \text{CH}(X)$  we have the relation  $\mathcal{F}(f_*(\xi)) = f^{\dagger,*}(\mathcal{F}(\xi))$ . So (ii) follows from (i).  $\square$

**(3.5) Caution.** — The field  $K$  is either totally real or a CM field. In (ii) of the Proposition, it is important that we identify  $K$  with the center of  $D^{\dagger, \text{opp}}$  via the isomorphism  $D \xrightarrow{\sim} D^{\dagger, \text{opp}}$  given by  $f \mapsto f^\dagger$ . If we choose a polarization  $\theta: X \rightarrow X^\dagger$ , the resulting isomorphism  $D \xrightarrow{\sim} D^\dagger$  gives the complex conjugate identification of  $K$  with the center of  $D^{\dagger, \text{opp}}$ . Under that identification, the Fourier dual of a  $D^{\text{opp},*}$ -subrepresentation  $V \subset \text{CH}(X)$  of type  $\rho_\alpha$  is a  $D^{\dagger, \text{opp},*}$ -subrepresentation  $\mathcal{F}(V) \subset \text{CH}(X^\dagger)$  of type  $\rho_{\bar{\alpha}^*}^\dagger$ , where  $\bar{\alpha}^* \in \mathbb{X}^{\text{adm}}/\Gamma$  is the complex conjugate of  $\alpha^*$ .

#### 4. Decomposition of the Chow ring

Notation and assumptions as in (2.4) and (3.1).

**(4.1) Lemma.** — *Let  $U \subset \mathrm{CH}(X)$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -subspace of finite dimension. Then the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear span of the classes  $f^*(u)$ , for  $f \in D$  and  $u \in U$ , again has finite  $\mathbb{Q}$ -dimension.*

*Proof.* It suffices to prove this if  $U = \mathbb{Q} \cdot u$  for some element  $u \in \mathrm{CH}(X)$ . Using the Deninger-Murre decomposition (2.1.1) we may, in addition, assume there is an integer  $i$  such that  $[m]^*(u) = m^i \cdot u$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Choose a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -basis  $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N\}$  of  $D$  with  $\beta_1 = \mathrm{id}_X$ . With  $\mu: X^N \rightarrow X$  the addition map, consider the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -subspace of  $\mathrm{CH}(X^N)$  spanned by the class  $(\beta_1 \times \dots \times \beta_N)^* \mu^*(u)$ . By Prop. (2.2), applied to  $X^N$ , there exists a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -subspace  $W \subset \mathrm{CH}(X^N)$  that contains all classes  $(m_1 \beta_1 \times \dots \times m_N \beta_N)^* \mu^*(u)$  for  $(m_1, \dots, m_N) \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ . Our assumptions on  $u$  imply that  $W$  even contains all  $(q_1 \beta_1 \times \dots \times q_N \beta_N)^* \mu^*(u)$  for  $(q_1, \dots, q_N) \in \mathbb{Q}^N$ . If  $\Delta: X \rightarrow X^N$  is the diagonal morphism,  $\Delta^*(W)$  is then a finite dimensional subspace of  $\mathrm{CH}(X)$  that contains all classes  $(q_1 \beta_1 + \dots + q_N \beta_N)^*(u)$ , and because  $\beta_1 = \mathrm{id}_X$  we have  $U \subset \Delta^*(W)$ .  $\square$

**(4.2)** Define a subset  $\Lambda^{\mathrm{adm}} \subset \Lambda^{\mathrm{pol}}$  of “admissible” elements by the condition that  $(2g/nd) \geq \lambda_1$ ; so,

$$\Lambda^{\mathrm{adm}} = \left\{ \lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \mid \frac{2g}{nd} \geq \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_d \geq 0 \right\}.$$

Define  $\mathbb{X}^{\mathrm{adm}} = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma(K)} \Lambda^{\mathrm{adm}}$ , which is a  $\Gamma$ -stable subset of  $\mathbb{X}^+$ . Note that  $0 \leq \|\alpha\| \leq 2g$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{X}^{\mathrm{adm}}/\Gamma$ . If  $\lambda \in \mathbb{X}^{\mathrm{adm}}$  then  $\lambda^*$  is an element of  $\mathbb{X}^{\mathrm{adm}}$ , too; hence  $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^*$  is an involutive automorphism of  $\mathbb{X}^{\mathrm{adm}}/\Gamma$ .

**(4.3) Theorem.** — *We have a decomposition*

$$(4.3.1) \quad \mathrm{CH}(X) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{X}^{\mathrm{adm}}/\Gamma} \mathrm{CH}_\alpha(X)$$

as a representation of  $D^{\mathrm{opp},*}$ , such that the  $\mathrm{CH}_\alpha(X)$  is isomorphic to a sum of copies of the irreducible representation  $\rho_\alpha$ . For  $i \geq 0$  the subspace  $\mathrm{CH}(R^i(X/S)) \subset \mathrm{CH}(X)$  is the direct sum of the  $\mathrm{CH}_\alpha(X)$  with  $\|\alpha\| = i$ . For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{X}^{\mathrm{adm}}/\Gamma$ , the Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F}$  restricts to an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{F}: \mathrm{CH}_\alpha(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{CH}_{\alpha^*}(X^\dagger).$$

*Proof.* By (2.6) and (4.1) we can apply Prop. (1.7). This gives a decomposition of  $\mathrm{CH}(R^i(X/S))$  as a direct sum of subspaces  $\mathrm{CH}_\alpha(R^i(X/S))$  for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{X}^{\mathrm{pol}}/\Gamma$  with  $\|\alpha\| = i$ . (Cf. (1.9).) But if  $\mathrm{CH}_\alpha(R^i(X/S)) \neq 0$  then it follows from Prop. (3.4) that  $\alpha^*$  lies in the subset  $\mathbb{X}^{\mathrm{pol}}/\Gamma \subset \mathbb{X}^+/\Gamma$ . This implies that  $\alpha \in \mathbb{X}^{\mathrm{adm}}/\Gamma$ . The last assertion is immediate from (3.4).  $\square$

## 5. Motivic decomposition

We retain the notation and assumptions of the previous sections; in particular,  $X/S$  is still assumed to be isogenous to a power of a simple abelian scheme.

(5.1) *Theorem.* — (i) *There is a unique decomposition*

$$(5.1.1) \quad R(X/S) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{X}^{\text{adm}}/\Gamma} R^{(\alpha)}(X/S),$$

in  $\mathbf{M}^0(S)$  that is stable under the action of  $D^{\text{opp},*}$  and has the property that for any  $M$  in  $\mathbf{M}^0(S)$  the  $D^{\text{opp},*}$ -representation  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{M}^0(S)}(M, R^{(\alpha)}(X/S))$  is a sum of copies of the irreducible representation  $\rho_\alpha$ . The motive  $R^i(X/S)$  is the direct sum of the  $R^{(\alpha)}(X/S)$  with  $\|\alpha\| = i$ .

(ii) For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{X}^{\text{adm}}/\Gamma$  the subspace  $\text{CH}(R^{(\alpha)}(X/S)) \subset \text{CH}(X)$  is the  $\alpha$ -isotypic component  $\text{CH}_\alpha(X) \subset \text{CH}(X)$  of (4.3.1).

(iii) Let  $\delta_\alpha$  be the idempotent in  $\text{CH}^g(X \times_S X) = \text{End}_{\mathbf{M}^0(S)}(R(X/S))$  that defines the submotive  $R^{(\alpha)}(X/S)$ , so that  $[\Delta_{X/S}] = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{X}^{\text{adm}}/\Gamma} \delta_\alpha$  is the decomposition of the diagonal that corresponds with (5.1.1). Then  ${}^t\delta_\alpha = \delta_{\alpha^*}$ ; hence

$$R^{(\alpha)}(X/S)^\vee = R^{(\alpha^*)}(X/S)(g).$$

(iv) The motivic Fourier duality  $R^{2g-i}(X/S) \xrightarrow{\sim} R^i(X^\dagger/S)(i-g)$  of (2.3.1) is the direct sum of isomorphisms

$$R^{(\alpha)}(X/S) \xrightarrow{\sim} R^{(\alpha^*)}(X^\dagger/S)(i-g)$$

for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{X}^{\text{adm}}/\Gamma$  with  $\|\alpha\| = 2g - i$ .

*Proof.* (i) We view  $X \times_S X$  as an abelian scheme over  $X$  via the first projection. Correspondingly, we let an element  $f \in D$  act on  $\text{CH}(X \times_S X)$  as  $(1 \times f)^*$ . By Thm. (4.3),

$$(5.1.2) \quad \text{CH}(X \times_S X) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{X}^{\text{adm}}/\Gamma} \text{CH}_\alpha(X \times_S X)$$

such that  $\text{CH}_\alpha(X \times_S X)$  is  $\alpha$ -isotypic as a representation of  $D^{\text{opp},*}$ . For  $m$  an integer,  $(1 \times [m])^*$  is multiplication by  $m^{\|\alpha\|}$  on  $\text{CH}_\alpha(X \times_S X)$ ; hence the idempotent  $\pi_i$  lies in the direct sum of the subspaces  $\text{CH}_\alpha(X \times_S X)$  with  $\|\alpha\| = i$ . Define  $\delta_\alpha$  to be the  $\alpha$ -component of  $[\Delta_{X/S}]$  in (5.1.2).

For  $\xi \in \text{CH}(X \times_S X)$  let  $W(\xi) \subset \text{CH}(X \times_S X)$  denote the smallest  $\mathbb{Q}$ -subspace containing  $\xi$  that is stable under the action of  $D^{\text{opp},*}$ , i.e., the linear span of the elements  $(1 \times f)^*\xi$ , for  $f \in D^{\text{opp},*}$ . If  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  are correspondences from  $X$  to itself relative to  $S$  and  $f \in D$ , it follows from [4], Prop. 1.2.1, that  $(1 \times f)^*(\eta \circ \xi) = (1 \times f)^*\eta \circ \xi$ . Hence  $W(\eta \circ \xi)$ , as a representation of  $D^{\text{opp},*}$ , is a quotient of  $W(\eta)$ . In particular, for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{X}^{\text{adm}}/\Gamma$  we have  $\delta_\alpha \circ \xi \in \text{CH}_\alpha(X \times_S X)$ . On the other hand,  $\xi = [\Delta_{X/S}] \circ \xi = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{X}^{\text{adm}}/\Gamma} \delta_\alpha \circ \xi$ ; hence  $\delta_\alpha \circ \xi$  is the  $\alpha$ -component of  $\xi$  in the decomposition (5.1.2). It follows that

$$\delta_\beta \circ \delta_\alpha = \begin{cases} \delta_\alpha & \text{if } \beta = \alpha; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In particular,  $\delta_\alpha$  is an idempotent. Define  $R^{(\alpha)}(X/S) = (X, \delta_\alpha, 0)$ , the submotive of  $R(X/S)$  cut out by  $\delta_\alpha$ . By construction we have a decomposition (5.1.1). Further,  $(1 \times f)^*$  preserves the

subspaces  $\text{CH}_\beta(X \times_S X) \subset \text{CH}(X \times_S X)$ ; so  $(1 \times f)^*(\delta_\beta) = [{}^t\Gamma_f] \circ \delta_\beta$  lies in  $\text{CH}_\beta(X \times_S X)$ , and by the above it follows that  $\delta_\alpha \circ [{}^t\Gamma_f] \circ \delta_\beta = 0$  if  $\alpha \neq \beta$ . This means that the decomposition (5.1.1) is stable under the action of  $D^{\text{opp},*}$ .

If  $M$  is a relative Chow motive over  $S$  the map  $h \mapsto \sum \delta_\alpha \circ h$  gives an isomorphism  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{M}^0(S)}(M, R(X/S)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{X}^{\text{adm}}/\Gamma} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{M}^0(S)}(M, R^{(\alpha)}(X/S))$ . By the same argument as above, the  $D^{\text{opp},*}$ -subrepresentation of  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{M}^0(S)}(M, R^{(\alpha)}(X/S))$  generated by  $\delta_\alpha \circ h$  is  $\alpha$ -isotypic.

Finally, the uniqueness of the decomposition (5.1.1) follows from the Yoneda Lemma, as the required property uniquely characterizes  $R^{(\alpha)}(X/S)$  as a subfunctor of  $R(X/S)$ .

Part (ii) follows from (i) by taking  $M = \mathbf{1}(-j)$  for various  $j$ .

Next we prove (iv). Given a motive  $M$  and a class  $\alpha \in \mathbb{X}^{\text{adm}}/\Gamma$  with  $\|\alpha\| = 2g - i$ , consider the map  $h: \text{Hom}(M, R^{(\alpha)}(X/S)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, R(X^\dagger/S)(i-g))$  induced by the composition

$$R^{(\alpha)}(X/S) \hookrightarrow R^{2g-i}(X/S) \xrightarrow{(2.3.1)} R^i(X^\dagger/S)(i-g) \hookrightarrow R(X^\dagger/S)(i-g).$$

By Yoneda, it suffices to prove that the image of  $h$  lies in the  $\alpha^*$ -isotypic component of  $\text{Hom}(M, R(X^\dagger/S)(i-g))$ . It is enough to do this for motives  $M$  of the form  $M = R(Y/S)(m)$  with  $Y$  a connected smooth projective  $S$ -scheme. In this case,  $h$  is just the Fourier transform  $\text{CH}_\alpha^{\dim(Y/S)-m}(Y \times_S X) \rightarrow \text{CH}^{\dim(Y/S)-m-g+i}(Y \times_S X^\dagger)$ , where we view  $Y \times_S X$  and  $Y \times_S X^\dagger$  as abelian schemes over  $Y$  via the first projections. (We use that our motivic decomposition is compatible, in the obvious sense, with base-change.) We conclude by Thm. (4.3).

For (iii) we first recall from (3.1)(c) that we have a natural isomorphism  $\tau: D^* \cong D^{\dagger, \text{opp},*}$ . On  $R^i(X/S)^\vee$  we have an action of  $D^*$ . On  $R^i(X^\dagger/S)(i)$  we have an action of  $D^{\dagger, \text{opp},*}$ . Further, the isomorphism  $R^i(X/S)^\vee \xrightarrow{\sim} R^i(X^\dagger/S)(i)$  of (2.3) is equivariant with respect to  $\tau$ . (Cf. the proof of (3.4)(ii).) With these remarks, (iii) follows from (iv).  $\square$

**(5.2) Corollary.** — Let  $\mathbf{Vect}_\mathbb{Q}$  be the category of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector spaces. If  $\Phi: \mathbf{M}^0(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}_\mathbb{Q}$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear functor,  $\Phi(R(X/S)) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{X}^{\text{adm}}/\Gamma} \Phi(R^{(\alpha)}(X/S))$  and  $\Phi(R^{(\alpha)}(X/S))$  is  $\alpha$ -isotypic as a representation of  $D^{\text{opp},*}$ .

*Proof.* Write  $R^{(\alpha)}$  for  $R^{(\alpha)}(X/S)$ . Let  $E_\alpha \subset \text{End}_{\mathbf{M}^0(S)}(R^{(\alpha)})$  be the image of the group algebra  $\mathbb{Q}[D^{\text{opp},*}]$ , or, what is the same, the  $D^{\text{opp},*}$ -subrepresentation of  $\text{End}_{\mathbf{M}^0(S)}(R^{(\alpha)})$  generated by the identity. If  $u \in \Phi(R^{(\alpha)})$ , the  $D^{\text{opp},*}$ -subrepresentation of  $\Phi(R^{(\alpha)})$  generated by  $u$  is a quotient of  $E_\alpha$ . Now use that  $\text{End}_{\mathbf{M}^0(S)}(R^{(\alpha)})$  is  $\alpha$ -isotypic as a representation of  $D^{\text{opp},*}$ .  $\square$

**(5.3) Example.** — For the higher Chow groups (with  $\mathbb{Q}$ -coefficients) we have

$$\text{CH}(X; j) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{X}^{\text{adm}}/\Gamma} \text{CH}(R^{(\alpha)}(X/S); j)$$

and  $\text{CH}(R^{(\alpha)}(X/S); j)$  is  $\alpha$ -isotypic as a representation of  $D^{\text{opp},*}$ .

Depending on the context we can draw similar conclusions for cohomology. For instance, if the ground field  $F$  is  $\mathbb{C}$  and if  $q: X \rightarrow S$  is the structural morphism, the variation of Hodge structure  $\mathbb{V} = R^n q_* \mathbb{Q}_X$  decomposes as a direct sum  $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{X}^{\text{adm}}/\Gamma} \mathbb{V}_\alpha$  where  $\mathbb{V}_\alpha \subset \mathbb{V}$  is cut out by the projector  $\delta_\alpha$  and is  $\alpha$ -isotypic as a sheaf of  $D^{\text{opp},*}$ -modules.

If we have a cohomology theory with coefficients in a field  $\mathbb{F}$  of characteristic 0, we can in general only conclude that the cohomology of  $R^{(\alpha)}(X/S)$  is a quotient of a sum of copies of  $\rho_{\alpha, \mathbb{F}}$ . For instance, if  $E$  is a supersingular elliptic curve over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ , in which case  $D$  is a quaternion algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , there is a unique class  $\alpha \in \mathbb{X}^{\text{adm}}/\Gamma$  with  $\|\alpha\| = 1$  (see also below) and  $\rho_\alpha$  has dimension 4; so the  $\ell$ -adic cohomology  $H^1(E, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$  is only “half” a copy of  $\rho_{\alpha, \mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ .

**(5.4) Example.** — Suppose  $D$  is a quaternion algebra with center  $\mathbb{Q}$ . In this case  $\mathbb{X}(G)^{\text{adm}}/\Gamma$  is the set of pairs  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$  with  $g \geq \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq 0$ . Viewing  $D^{\text{opp},*}$  as an inner form of  $\text{GL}_2$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , the irreducible representation  $\rho_\lambda$  associated with  $\lambda$  (which in this case is the same as the representation  $\psi_\lambda$  of (1.3)) is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -form of  $d(\lambda)$  copies of the representation  $\text{Sym}^{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}(V) \otimes \det^{\otimes \lambda_2}$ , where  $V$  is the standard representation of  $\text{GL}_2$  and where

$$d(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \text{ is even;} \\ 2 & \text{if } \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

For  $0 \leq i \leq g$  we obtain a decomposition

$$R^i(X/S) = R^{(i,0)} \oplus R^{(i-1,1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus R^{(\nu, i-\nu)} \quad \text{with } \nu = \lfloor i/2 \rfloor.$$

For  $g \leq i \leq 2g$  the decomposition takes the form

$$R^i(X/S) = R^{(g,i-g)} \oplus R^{(g-1,i+1-g)} \oplus \cdots \oplus R^{(g-\nu,i+\nu-g)}, \quad \text{again with } \nu = \lfloor i/2 \rfloor.$$

Fourier duality exchanges  $R^{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}(X/S)$  and  $R^{(g-\lambda_2, g-\lambda_1)}(X^\dagger/S)$ . By looking at cohomology we can see that in general all summands  $R^{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}$  in the indicated range are non-zero.

**(5.5) Remark.** — If we drop the assumption that  $X$  is isogenous to a power of a simple abelian scheme over  $S$ , we may proceed as in (2.2). Choose an isogeny  $h: X \rightarrow Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_r$  such that each  $Y_\nu$  is isogenous to a power of a simple abelian scheme. To each  $Y_\nu$  we may apply (5.1). As  $h$  induces an isomorphism  $R(X/S) \cong R(Y_1/S) \otimes \cdots \otimes R(Y_r/S)$ , this gives us a refined decomposition of the Chow motive of  $X$ . We leave it to the reader to write out the details.

It is instructive to consider the case where  $X$  is isogenous to  $Y^r$  for some abelian scheme  $Y/S$  with  $\text{End}(Y/S) = \mathbb{Z}$ . In this case, taking  $Y_1 = \cdots = Y_r = Y$  gives back the decomposition of (2.2), which, in general, is finer than the decomposition of  $R(X/S)$  we obtain by applying (5.1) to  $X$  itself. However, the finer decomposition in (2.2) does not give information on how  $\text{GL}_r(\mathbb{Q})$  acts; it only takes into account the action of the diagonal subgroup  $\mathbb{Q}^* \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Q}^*$  ( $r$  factors).

**(5.6) Remark.** — There is another, perhaps more elementary, way to obtain a motivic decomposition of  $R(X/S)$ , which coincides with the decomposition of (5.1) if  $D = K$  but which in general is coarser. For this we need to work in the category  $\mathbf{M}^0(S; \tilde{K})$  of relative Chow motives with coefficients in  $\tilde{K}$ . Write  $R^i(X/S; \tilde{K})$  for the image of  $R^i(X/S)$  under the natural functor  $\mathbf{M}^0(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}^0(S; \tilde{K})$ .

Let  $D_{\tilde{K}} = D \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \tilde{K}$ . Then  $D_{\tilde{K}} = \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma(K)} D_\sigma$ , where  $D_\sigma = D \otimes_{K, \sigma} \tilde{K}$ . Let  $1 = \sum e_\sigma$  be the corresponding decomposition of  $1 \in D_{\tilde{K}}$  as a sum of idempotents. By (2.5) we have an algebra homomorphism  $r_{\tilde{K}}: D_{\tilde{K}}^{\text{opp}} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbf{M}^0(S; \tilde{K})}(R^1(X/S; \tilde{K}))$ . This gives a decomposition  $R^1(X/S; \tilde{K}) = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma(K)} R_\sigma$ , where  $R_\sigma$  is the submotive of  $R^1(X/S; \tilde{K})$  cut out by the idempotent  $r_{\tilde{K}}(e_\sigma)$ .

Let  $\mathbf{J} = (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{\Sigma(K)}$ , and for  $i \geq 0$  define a subset  $\mathbf{J}(i) \subset \mathbf{J}$  by

$$\mathbf{J}(i) = \{\mathbf{j} : \Sigma(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid |\mathbf{j}| = i\},$$

where  $|\mathbf{j}| = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma(K)} \mathbf{j}(\sigma)$ . Taking exterior powers and using Künnemann's isomorphism  $\wedge^i R^1(X/S) \xrightarrow{\sim} R^i(X/S)$ , we obtain decompositions

$$R^i(X/S; \tilde{K}) = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}(i)} R^{(\mathbf{j})}(X/S; \tilde{K}) \quad \text{such that} \quad R^{(\mathbf{j})}(X/S; \tilde{K}) \cong \bigotimes_{\sigma \in \Sigma(K)} \left( \wedge^{j(\sigma)} R_{\sigma} \right).$$

(The calculation of the exterior powers works as expected; cf. [3], Section 1.) Fixing  $i \geq 0$ , let  $1 = \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}(i)} \epsilon_{\mathbf{j}}$  be the corresponding decomposition of  $1 \in \text{End}_{\mathbf{M}^0(S; \tilde{K})}(R^i(X/S; \tilde{K}))$  as a sum of idempotents. The Galois group  $\Gamma$  acts on  $\mathbf{J}(i)$  and on the endomorphism algebra of the motive  $R^i(X/S; \tilde{K})$ . If  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  sends  $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}(i)$  to  $\mathbf{j}'$  then  $\gamma \epsilon_{\mathbf{j}} = \epsilon_{\mathbf{j}'}$ . Hence if  $\beta$  is a  $\Gamma$ -orbit in  $\mathbf{J}(i)$ , the sum  $\sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \beta} \epsilon_{\mathbf{j}}$  is an idempotent in  $\text{End}_{\mathbf{M}^0(S)}(R^i(X/S))$ . This gives us a decomposition

$$R^i(X/S) = \bigoplus_{\beta \in \mathbf{J}(i)/\Gamma} R^{(\beta)}(X/S)$$

in  $\mathbf{M}^0(S)$  such that  $R^{(\beta)}(X/S; \tilde{K}) = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{j} \in \beta} R^{(\mathbf{j})}(X/S; \tilde{K})$ .

To describe the relation with (5.1), consider the map  $v : \mathbb{X}^{\text{adm}}/\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{J}/\Gamma$  that sends the  $\Gamma$ -orbit of  $\lambda \in \mathbb{X}^{\text{adm}}$  to the  $\Gamma$ -orbit of the function  $\sigma \mapsto |\lambda(\sigma)|$ . By analyzing how the groups  $D_{\sigma}^{\text{opp},*}$  act, we find that  $R^{(\beta)}(X/S) = \bigoplus R^{(\alpha)}(X/S)$ , where the sum runs over the classes  $\alpha \in \mathbb{X}^{\text{adm}}/\Gamma$  such that  $v(\alpha) = \beta$ . In particular,  $R^{(\beta)}$  can only be non-zero if  $|\mathbf{j}(\sigma)| \leq 2g/n$  for all  $\mathbf{j} \in \beta$  and  $\sigma \in \Sigma(K)$ ; hence  $\wedge^j R_{\sigma} = 0$  for  $j > 2g/n$ .

## References

- [1] A. Beauville, *Quelques remarques sur la transformation de Fourier dans l'anneau de Chow d'une variété abélienne*. Algebraic geometry (Tokyo/Kyoto, 1982), 238–260. Lecture Notes in Math. 1016, Springer, Berlin, 1983.
- [2] A. Beauville, *Sur l'anneau de Chow d'une variété abélienne*. Math. Ann. 273 (1986), 647–651.
- [3] P. Deligne, *Catégories tensorielles*. Mosc. Math. J. 2 (2002), 227–248.
- [4] C. Deninger, J. Murre, *Motivic decomposition of abelian schemes and the Fourier transform*. J. reine angew. Math. 422 (1991), 201–219.
- [5] W. Fulton, J. Harris, *Representation theory. A first course*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 129. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [6] S. Kimura, *Correspondences to abelian varieties. I*. Duke Math. J. 73 (1994), 583–591.
- [7] K. Künnemann, *On the Chow motive of an abelian scheme*. Motives (Seattle, WA, 1991), Part 1, 189–205. Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 55, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
- [8] D. Mumford, *Abelian varieties*. Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies in Math. 5. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1970.
- [9] J. Tits, *Représentations linéaires irréductibles d'un groupe réductif sur un corps quelconque*. J. reine angew. Math. 247 (1971), 196–220.
- [10] T. Wedhorn, *Ordinariness in good reductions of Shimura varieties of PEL-type*. Ann. scient. Éc. Norm. Sup. (4) 32 (1999), 575–618.